GLENDALE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

FINANCIAL AUDIT
WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT
AND
AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORT
WITH INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

JUNE 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2010

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	1
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Balance Sheet - Modified Accrual Basis	2
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Modified Accrual Basis	3
Notes to Financial Statements	4
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH <i>GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</i>	11
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	13

GLENDALE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

FINANCIAL AUDIT
WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

JUNE 30, 2010



Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Glendale Community College District General Obligation Bond Glendale, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Fund (the Bond Funds) of the Glendale Community College District (the District) as of the year ended June 30, 2010, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Glendale Community College District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the comptroller general of the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.


As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Fund and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the Glendale Community College District as of June 30, 2010, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, as discussed in Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements, the Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Fund's financial statements are prepared on the modified accrual basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position - modified accrual basis - of the Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Fund of the Glendale Community College District at June 30, 2010, and the results of its operations - modified accrual basis - for the year then ended in conformity with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 22, 2010, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting for the Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Fund and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and is important for assessing the results of our audit.

Vavinek, Trini, Day & Co., LLP Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 22, 2010



BALANCE SHEET - MODIFIED ACCRUAL BASIS JUNE 30, 2010

	SS		rc
- 4	7.7	M. 1	

Investments	\$ 11,216,550
Accounts receivable	56,426
Total Assets	\$ 11,272,976

LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE

LIABILITIES

Accounts payable \$ 943,765

FUND BALANCE

Unreserved

Designated 10,329,211
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance \$11,272,976

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - MODIFIED ACCRUAL BASIS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

REVENUES Local revenues	\$ 205,463
EXPENDITURES	
Services and operating expenditures	2,583,514
Capital outlay	4,548,273
Total Expenditures	7,131,787
EXCESS OF EXPENDITURES OVER REVENUES	(6,926,324)
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	17,255,535
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 10,329,211

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Glendale Community College District (the District) Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Fund (the Fund) conforms to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The District's Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Fund accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the Contracted District Audit Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

The audited financial statements include only the Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Fund of the District. This Fund was established to account for the expenditures of the general obligation bonds issued under the Measure G General Obligation Bonds Election of March 5, 2002. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and the changes in financial position of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Governmental funds are generally accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Their revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered), except for unmatured interest on the general obligation bonds, which are recognized when due.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

Property Tax

Secured property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. Taxes are payable in two installments on November 1 and February 1 and become delinquent on December 10 and April 10, respectively. Unsecured property taxes are payable in one installment on or before August 31. The County of Los Angeles bills and collects the taxes for the District. The District recognizes tax revenues when received.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 – INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations.

Investment in County Treasury - The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Authorized Under Debt Agreements

Investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code. These provisions allow for the acquisition of investment agreements with maturities of up to 30 years.

Summary of Investments

Investments as of June 30, 2010, consist of the following:

Reported
Amount

County Pool - Los Angeles

\$ 11,216,550

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the County investment pool.

Specific Identification

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments to market interest rate fluctuation is provided by the following schedule that shows the distribution of the District's investment by maturity:

	Fair	Maturity
Investment Type	Value	Date
County Pool - Los Angeles	\$ 11,281,782	536*

^{*} Weighted average days to maturity.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the County pool is not required to be rated, nor has it been rated as of June 30, 2010. Presented below is the minimum rating required by the California Government Code, the District's investment policy, or debt agreements, and the actual rating as of the year-end for each investment type.

		Minimum	
	Fair	Legal	Rating as of
Investment Type	Value	Rating	June 30, 2010
County Pool - Los Angeles	\$ 11,281,782	Not Rated	Not Rated

NOTE 3 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Receivables at June 30, 2010, consist of the following:

Interest \$ 56,426

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable at June 30, 2010, consists of the following:

Construction payables

\$ 943,765

NOTE 5 - FUND BALANCE

Fund balance is composed of the following element:

Unreserved

Designated

\$ 10,329,211

NOTE 6 - BONDED DEBT

Description of Debt

General obligation bonds were approved by a local election in March 2002. The total amount approved by the voters was \$98,000,000. At June 30, 2010, \$65,549,264 was outstanding. Interest rates on the bonds range from 2.0 percent to 5.4 percent. Through the issuance of the general obligation bonds, advance refunding of the District's certificates of participation issued in 2001 was executed. The Revenue Bond Interest and Redemption Fund will pay off the general obligation bonds with revenues received from property tax collections.

A schedule of changes in long-term obligations related to the general obligation bonds for the year ended June 30, 2010, is shown below:

	Balance			
	Beginning			Balance
	of Year	Additions	Deductions	End of Year
Bonds and Notes Payable				
General obligation bonds,				
2002 Series A	\$ 2,925,000	\$ -	\$ 700,000	\$ 2,225,000
General obligation bonds,				
2003 Series B and C	16,178,552	790,583	810,000	16,159,135
General obligation refunding bonds,				
2005 Series A	15,928,821	236,308	1,945,000	14,220,129
General obligation bonds,				
2006 Series D	33,300,000		355,000	32,945,000
Total Bonds Payable	\$ 68,332,373	\$ 1,026,891	\$ 3,810,000	\$ 65,549,264

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

General Obligation Bonds

				Bonds Accreted					Bonds							
Issue	Maturity	Interest	Original	(Outstanding				Interest			C	Outstanding			
Date	Date	Rate	Issue	July 1, 2009		July 1, 2009		e July 1, 2009		Iss	Issued Addition		F	Redeemed	Ju	ne 30, 2010
2002	10/1/12	2.0% - 4.0%	\$ 27,000,000	\$	2,925,000	\$	-	\$		\$	700,000	\$	2,225,000			
2003	8/1/28	4.0%	17,499,930		16,178,552		1-		790,583		810,000		16,159,135			
2005	10/1/17	3.5% - 4.0%	16,951,097		15,928,821		••		236,308		1,945,000		14,220,129			
2006	11/1/31	4.0% - 5.0%	34,500,000		33,300,000		-				355,000		32,945,000			
				\$	68,332,373	\$	-,	\$	1,026,891	\$	3,810,000	\$	65,549,264			

Debt Service Requirements

The general obligation bonds 2002 Series A mature through 2013 as follows:

		Interest to						
Fiscal Year	Principal	N	laturity	Total				
2011	\$ 725,000	\$	63,375	\$	788,375			
2012	750,000		39,375		789,375			
2013	750,000		13,125		763,125			
Total	\$ 2,225,000	\$	115,875	\$	2,340,875			

The general obligation bonds 2003 Series B and C mature through 2029 as follows:

	Principal		
	(Including accrete	d Accreted	
Fiscal Year_	interest to date)	Interest	Total
2011	\$ 843,674	\$ 16,326	\$ 860,000
2012	856,379	53,621	910,000
2013	876,836	98,164	975,000
2014	874,677	145,323	1,020,000
2015	882,249	202,751	1,085,000
2016-2020	4,313,345	1,966,655	6,280,000
2021-2025	4,144,286	3,969,955	8,114,241
2026-2029	3,367,689	5,110,389	8,478,078
Total	\$ 16,159,135	\$ 11,563,184	\$ 27,722,319

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010

The general obligation refunding bonds 2005 Series A mature through 2018 as follows:

		Principal			Current		
	(Inch	uding accreted	Ac	creted	Ir	nterest to	
Fiscal Year	inte	erest to date)	Interest		Maturity		Total
2011	\$	2,025,000	\$	_	\$	379,175	\$ 2,404,175
2012		2,095,000		-		307,075	2,402,075
2013		2,165,000		-		229,818	2,394,818
2014		2,250,000		-		141,413	2,391,413
2015		2,340,000		.=		46,800	2,386,800
2016-2018		3,345,129	3,	964,871		-	7,310,000
Total	\$	14,220,129	\$ 3,	964,871	\$	1,104,281	\$ 19,289,281

The general obligation bonds 2006 Series D mature through 2032 as follows:

			Interest to		
Fiscal Year		Principal	Maturity	Total	
2011		\$ 370,000	\$ 1,581,137	\$ 1,951,137	
2012		585,000	1,562,037	2,147,037	
2013	8	735,000	1,535,637	2,270,637	
2014		735,000	1,506,237	2,241,237	
2015		745,000	1,476,637	2,221,637	
2016-2020		5,620,000	6,682,340	12,302,340	
2021-2025		7,975,000	4,996,321	12,971,321	
2026-2030		10,850,000	2,729,450	13,579,450	
2031-2032		5,330,000	270,500	5,600,500	
Total		\$ 32,945,000	\$ 22,340,296	\$ 55,285,296	



Certified Public Accountants

VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Glendale Community College District General Obligation Bond Glendale, California

We have audited the financial statements of the Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Fund (the Bond Funds) of the Glendale Community College District (the District) for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated December 22, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Glendale Community College District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the Bond Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Glendale Community College District's internal control over the Bond Funds' financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Glendale Community College District's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of Glendale Community College District's General Obligation Bond Construction Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Glendale Community College District's Measure G General Obligation Bond Construction Funds' financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Trustees, District Management, and the Citizens' Oversight Committee and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Varinet, Trine, Day & lo., Ll P Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 22, 2010

GLENDALE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORT WITH INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

JUNE 30, 2010



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Glendale Community College District General Obligation Bond Glendale, California

We have performed the Agreed-Upon Procedures enumerated in Exhibit A, which were agreed to by the management of Glendale Community College District (the District) for the purpose of determining compliance with Measure G General Obligation Bond requirements for the period ended June 30, 2010. The District's management is responsible for maintaining compliance with Proposition 39 requirements. This engagement to perform Agreed-Upon Procedures was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described in Exhibit A either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.


The procedures we performed and the results of those procedures are described within this report as Exhibit B.

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion relating to the matters included in the Agreed-Upon Procedures. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of the Glendale Community College District governing board, Independent Citizens' Oversight Committee, and District administrators and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Varrine, Trine, Day & lo., LLP Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 22, 2010



AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES JUNE 30, 2010

- A. Verify District procedures for disbursement of Bond Funds were applied in accordance with laws and regulations as described in the State of California Education Code, the California Chancellor's Office Budget and Accounting Manual, as well as approved Glendale Community College District Board policies for expenditures through review and testing of actual invoices, purchase orders, encumbrances, inter-fund transfers, cash in county, and construction bid documents.
- B. Verify compliance with expenditure allowances within the Measure G Bond Initiative placed on the Local Election Ballot held on March 5, 2002, through review of the ballot language related to various construction, renovation, and repair projects. Specifically, we reviewed expenditures to determine any on-going District charges or Administrative charges.
- C. Verify appropriateness of Bond expenditures for the 2009-2010 fiscal year to the object code classification within the general ledger.
- D. Calculate the balance of Measure G Bond Funds available at June 30, 2010, for future expenditures.

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES JUNE 30, 2010

Agreed-Upon Procedure (A)

Procedure

Verify District procedures for disbursement of Bond Funds were applied in accordance with laws and regulations as described in the State of California Education Code, the California Chancellor's Office Budget and Accounting Manual, as well as approved Glendale Community College District Board policies for expenditures through review and testing of actual invoices, purchase orders, encumbrances, inter-fund transfers, cash in county, and construction bid documents.

Results

We included 52 percent of all expenditures charged to the Measure G Bond Funds for the 2009-2010 fiscal year in our examination. Based upon our examination of actual invoices and purchase orders, there were no exceptions noted in the District's procedures related to the disbursement of Measure G Bond Funds. The District used formal bid procedures for those contracts over the construction bid level requirements and informal bid procedures for those contracts below the construction bid level to select contractors for the various projects in accordance with Education Code requirements and District policy. Budgets for specific projects have been approved and monitored in accordance with Board requirements.

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES JUNE 30, 2010

Agreed-Upon Procedure (B)

Procedure

Verify compliance with expenditure allowances within the Measure G Bond Initiative placed on the Local Election Ballot held on March 5, 2002, through review of the ballot language related to various construction, renovation, and repair projects. Specifically, we reviewed expenditures to determine any on-going District charges or Administrative charges. The following table notes the expenditures reported for the 2009-2010 fiscal year and the sample selected for audit.

	Expenditures	Expenditures	Percentage
Category of Expense	Incurred	Tested	of Total
Services and operating expenses	\$ 2,583,514	\$ 1,254,995	49%
Capital outlay	4,548,273	2,426,878	53%
Total	\$ 7,131,787	\$ 3,681,873	52%

Results

For the items selected, there were no exceptions noted in the expenditures tested of the Measure G Bond Funds. The District has not charged on-going expenditures that are the responsibility of the District to the Measure G Bond Fund, nor has an administrative or indirect cost been charged to the Measure G Bond Fund.

Agreed-Upon Procedure (C)

Procedure

Verify appropriateness of Bond expenditures for the 2009-2010 fiscal year to the object code classification within the general ledger.

Results

For all items noted in Agreed-Upon Procedure B, we verified the appropriateness of the expenditures to the object code classification within the general ledger to ensure proper classification and coding. There were no exceptions noted. The expenditures reflected within the general ledger object code classifications were proper and in accordance with provisions of the *Budget and Accounting Manual* issued by the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office.

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES JUNE 30, 2010

Agreed-Upon Procedure (D)

Procedure

Calculate the balance of Measure G Bond Funds available at June 30, 2010, for future expenditures.

Results

GO Bond Fund - All Series

REVENUES		
All Years	Proceeds from sale of bonds	\$ 81,318,116
All Years	Proceeds from refunding of bonds	19,466,260
All Years	Interest local	5,509,575
	Subtotal	106,293,951
EXPENSES		\
All Years	Expenses and other uses	95,964,740
		
	Net Fund Balance at June 30, 2010	\$ 10,329,211

As stated in the District's audited financial statements as of June 30, 2010, the total expenditures and other uses from the Measure G Bond Fund were \$7,131,787 for fiscal year 2009-2010, totaling \$95,964,740 to date. Bond proceeds in the amount of \$100,784,376, in addition to interest and miscellaneous revenue earned to date of \$5,509,575, provide \$10,329,211 from initial issuance available for future expenditures.