



Annual Evaluation of Integrated Planning 2013-2014

1. Planning Processes

1.1. Percent of plan action items completed

	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
Educational Master Plan			
Number of action items			
Percent completed			

1.2. Evaluation of master planning process (completed by Team B)

Evaluate the extent to which the planning process meets the following criteria on a scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (very well).

	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
Master planning sets institutional goals			
Progress is tracked toward meeting goals			
Master planning leads to improvement of institutional effectiveness			
Master planning guides resource allocation			

1.3. Strengths of the master planning process (completed by Team B)

- #1
- #2
- #3

1.4. Weaknesses of the master planning process (completed by Team B)

- #1
- #2
- #3

1.5. Accomplishments of the master planning process in 2013-2014 (completed by Team B)

- #1
- #2
- #3

1.6. Recommendations for master planning in the next cycle (completed by Team B)

- #1
- #2
- #3

2. Program Review Process

2.1. Percent of programs completing program review in 2013-2014

	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
Instructional Programs			
Student Services Programs			
Administrative Programs			

2.2. Evaluation of program review process (completed by Program Review Committee)

Evaluate the extent to which the program review process meets the following criteria on a scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (very well).

	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
Results of program review are used in decision-making			
Results of program review are linked to resource allocation			
Results of program review are used to improve programs			
Program review informs ongoing college planning			

2.3. Strengths of the program review process (completed by Program Review Committee)

- #1
- #2
- #3

2.4. Weaknesses of the program review process (completed by Program Review Committee)

- #1
- #2
- #3

2.5. Accomplishments of the program review in 2013-2014 (completed by Program Review Committee)

- #1
- #2
- #3

2.6. Recommendations for program review in the next cycle (completed by Program Review Committee)

- #1
- #2
- #3

3. Resource Allocation Process

3.1. Percent of all validated and prioritized resource requests funded in 2013-2014

	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
Instructional Programs			
Student Services Programs			
Administrative Programs			

3.2. Evaluation of resource allocation process (completed by Budget Committee)

Evaluate the extent to which the resource allocation process meets the following criteria on a scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (very well).

	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
Funded resource requests are linked to college goals and plans			
Funded resource requests are linked to program review			
Funded resource requests are linked to student learning			

3.3. Strengths of the resource allocation process (completed by Budget Committee)

- #1
- #2
- #3

3.4. Weaknesses of the resource allocation process (completed by Budget Committee)

- #1
- #2
- #3

3.5. Accomplishments of the resource allocation in 2013-2014 (completed by Budget Committee)

- #1
- #2
- #3

3.6. Recommendations for resource allocation in the next cycle (completed by Budget Committee)

- #1
- #2
- #3

4. Summary

4.1. Summary of recommendations for future cycles:

- #1
- #2
- #3