INSTRUCTIONAL FACULTY EVALUATION

		-				
Faculty Member's Name	Date			Divi	sion	
Tenured Tenured-Track Adjunct						
Evaluator's Name	EX = Exceeds	Expecta	tions	UN = U	nsatisfa	ctory
	MT = Meets Ex	pectatio	ns	NA = N	ot Applio	cable
NOT ALL CRITERIA SECTIONS ARE EQUAL IN WEIGHT.	NI = Needs Imp	oroveme	ent	NO = N	ot Obse	rved
EVALUATION CRITERIA						
Classroom Performance	EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
1. Communicates clearly and effectively						
2. Organizes class & course material to foster student learning						
3. Demonstrates mastery & currency of content						
4. Uses class time and/or technology-mediated instruction options in an effective manner						
Evidence of Student Learning	EX	МТ	NI	UN	NA	NO
5. Develops assignment/activities to elicit student critical thinking						
6. Provides opportunities for student participation						
7. Uses visual, auditory and contextual modalities for student learning*						
8. Classroom environment is conducive to learning						
9. Develops assignments/assessments consistent with course objectives						
10. Assesses student success and responds appropriately to information gathered						
Narrative Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):	•					
Relationship with students, staff and colleagues	EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
11. Demonstrates respect for students & others						
12. Demonstrates respect for colleagues						
13. Assist students during scheduled office hours; for Distance Education classes, office hours are conducted						
synchronously using technology*						
14. Student evaluations						

Rev. 06-10-2020 1 of 5

INSTRUCTIONAL	FACULTY EVALUATION

Name (Last/First)

Relationship with students, staff and colleagues - continued						
Narrative Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):						
Approaches to Learning	EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
15. Course overview/syllabi/exams/other material meet required standards*						
16. Displays enthusiasm for the subject matter						
17. Effective use of varied teaching techniques						
18. Develops innovative techniques for teaching content						
Narrative Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):						
*When evaluating a DE Course, please apply the DE Rubric approved by the Academic Senate.		_				
Distance Education Assessment of Instruction (if applicable):						
Please use the DE rubric defined by the Senate.	EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
19. Initiates contact before start of course and meets all requirements set forth by the Academic Senate DE Rubric.						
20. Effectively uses an attendance verification assignment.						
21. Students are informed of their required levels of participation to sustain attendance status (i.e. quantity/quality of						
interactions).						
22. Course materials/content are provided in a well-organized, easily navigated manner.						
23. Course goals and objectives within each unit contain appropriate due dates and expectations for completing assignment						
24. Applies best practices for accessibility and privacy.						
25. Provides opportunities for regular and effective instructor-initiated and student-initiated contact.						
Professional Responsibility - Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty Only						
26. Consistently submits grades, rosters, positive attendance on time						
27. Completes flex assignments in a timely manner						
28. Participates in evaluation and/or hiring committees						
29. Constructively participates in:						
a. Division and professional development activities						
b. Governance and other campus committees						
c. Faculty, division, and department meetings, if applicable						
d. Work with peers to improve programs						1
e. Program review						1
f. Curriculum development and assessment						1
Narrative Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):	-	-	-		-	-

Rev. 06-10-2020 2 of 5

INSTRUCTIONAL FACULTY EVALUATION Name (Last/First)									
Profes	ssional Responsibility - Adjunct Faculty Only			EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
30. Co	nsistently submits grades, rosters, positive attendance on time								
	empletes flex assignments in a timely manner								
Comp	ensated time for the additional activities listed below shal	not be rated on this fo	rm	·					
32. Co	onstructively participates in:			EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
a.	Division and professional development activities								
b.	Governance committees								
C.	Faculty, division, and department meetings, if applicable								
d.	Work with peers to improve programs								
e.	Program review								
f.	Curriculum development and assessment								
Comp	ensated time for the additional activities listed below shall	I not be rated on this fo	rm - continued						
Narrativ	e Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):								
	Evaluator's assessment of optional self-	evaluation by evaluatee	(attach self-evaluation f	orm to th	is docu	ment)			
	·		`						
!									
Whai	n determining the overall rating, please note:								
AAIIGI	i determining the overall rating, please note.								
						_		_	
	valuation criteria (Classroom Performance; Evidence of St		-		_				
	rofessional Responsibility) cannot be averaged because the	-	in weight. The evaluate	or shall e	xplain tl	ne relati	ve weig	ht assi	gned
to eac	h of the criteria sections in the Summary Evaluation below	٧.							
	Exceeds professional standards The instructor is innoversely and the innoversely	vative, engaging and crea	tes a learning environme	nt that pro	duces a	n outsta	nding e	ducation	al

Rev. 06-10-2020 3 of 5

INSTRUCTIONAL FACULTY EVALUATION	Name (Last/First)			
	experience for the students. Students come away excited and knowledgable about the subject. Exemplary performance outside the classroom may also be considered.			
Meets professional standards	The instructor knows the subject matter and presents it in an understandable and orderly manner. Students gain knowledge of the key concepts and issues in the course. Satisfactory performance outside the classroom may also be considered.			
Needs to improve	The instructor has an academic understanding of the subject field, but has difficulty translating that understanding into are into an effective classroom presentation. Students have trouble grasping core concepts and fail to engage with the			
Unsatisfactory performance	It is not clear that the instructor has the fundamental knowledge in the subject field. Class presentation has little or no no relation to accepted course outline. No measureable student learning is evident, and course exit standards appear to be ignored.			
Summary evaluation including co	ommendations and recommendations:			
	SIGNATURES			
Evaluator	Date			
Division Chair	Date			
Evaluatee	Date			

Signature of evaluatee does not constitute an endorsement of the evaluation. The evaluatee has the right to submit written comments regarding this evaluation for his/her file.

Rev. 06-10-2020 4 of 5

- Original copy must be forwarded to Human Resources for the evaluatee's personnel file.
- A copy is provided to the evaluatee.
- A copy may be kept on file in the evaluator's office.
- In the case of evening faculty, a copy may be kept in the Instructional Services Office.

Rev. 06-10-2020 5 of 5