## **INSTRUCTIONAL FACULTY EVALUATION**

Faculty Member's Name	Date				Divi	sion		
Tenured Tenured-Track Adjunct								
Evaluator's Name	EX = Exce	eds	Expecta	tions	UN = U	nsatisfa	ctory	
	MT = Meet					ot Applio		
NOT ALL CRITERIA SECTIONS ARE EQUAL IN WEIGHT.	·			nt NO = Not Observed				
EVALUATION CRITERIA								
Classroom Performance	E	X	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO	
1. Communicates clearly and effectively								
2. Organizes class & course material to foster student learning								
3. Demonstrates mastery & currency of content								
4. Uses class time and/or technology-mediated instruction options in an effective manner								
Evidence of Student Learning  5. Develops assignment/activities to elicit student critical thinking	E	X	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO	
6. Provides opportunities for student participation				<b></b>	+			
7. Uses visual, auditory and contextual modalities for student learning*					<del>                                     </del>			
Oses visual, auditory and contextual modalities for student learning     R. Classroom environment is conducive to learning					+			
Develops assignments/assessments consistent with course objectives					+			
10. Assesses student success and responds appropriately to information gathered								
Narrative Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):	·							
Relationship with students, staff and colleagues	E	X	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO	
11. Demonstrates respect for students & others								
12. Demonstrates respect for colleagues								
13. Assist students during scheduled office hours; for Distance Education classes, office hours are conducted synchronously using technology*			_			_	_	
14. Student evaluations								

Rev. 06-10-2020 1 of 5

INSTRUCTIONAL FACULTY EVALUATION	Name (Last/First)

Relation	onship with students, staff and colleagues - continued						
Narrative	e Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):						
Appro	aches to Learning	EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
	urse overview/syllabi/exams/other material meet required standards*						
	plays enthusiasm for the subject matter						
17. Eff	ective use of varied teaching techniques						
18. De	velops innovative techniques for teaching content						
Narrative	e Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):		- <b>U</b> .		1		
*When	evaluating a DE Course, please apply the DE Rubric approved by the Academic Senate.						
Distan	ce Education Assessment of Instruction (if applicable):						
	use the DE rubric defined by the Senate.	EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
	iates contact before start of course and meets all requirements set forth by the Academic Senate DE Rubric.						
20. Eff	ectively uses an attendance verification assignment.						
21. Stu	udents are informed of their required levels of participation to sustain attendance status (i.e. quantity/quality of						
interac	tions).						
22 Co	urse materials/content are provided in a well-organized, easily navigated manner.		1				
	urse goals and objectives within each unit contain appropriate due dates and expectations for completing assignme		1				
	plies best practices for accessibility and privacy.		1				
	ovides opportunities for regular and effective instructor-initiated and student-initiated contact.						
	e Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):				<u> </u>		
Profes	sional Responsibility - Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty Only	EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
	nsistently submits grades, rosters, positive attendance on time						
27. Completes flex assignments in a timely manner							
	rticipates in evaluation and/or hiring committees						
	nstructively participates in:						
a. Division and professional development activities							
b.	Governance and other campus committees						
C.	Faculty, division, and department meetings, if applicable						
d.	Work with peers to improve programs						
e.	Program review						

Rev. 06-10-2020 2 of 5

INST	RUCTIONAL FACULTY EVALUATION	Name (Last/First)						
f.	Curriculum development and assessment							
Narrativ	e Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):							
Profe	ssional Responsibility - Adjunct Faculty Only		EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
30. Consistently submits grades, rosters, positive attendance on time								
31. Completes flex assignments in a timely manner								
Comp	ensated time for the additional activities listed below shall not be	rated on this form						
32. Co	onstructively participates in:		EX	MT	NI	UN	NA	NO
a.	Division and professional development activities							
b.	Governance committees							
C.	Faculty, division, and department meetings, if applicable							
d.	Work with peers to improve programs							
e.	Program review							
f.	Curriculum development and assessment							
	ensated time for the additional activities listed below shall not be	rated on this form - continued						
Narrativ	e Comments (Required for EX, MT, NI or UN):							
	Evaluator's assessment of optional self-evaluati	ion by evaluatee (attach self-evaluation fo	rm to th	is docu	ıment)			

## When determining the overall rating, please note:

The evaluation criteria (Classroom Performance; Evidence of Student Learning; Relationship with Students, Staff and Colleagues; Approaches to Learning; and Professional Responsibility) cannot be averaged because the criteria are not equal in weight. The evaluator shall explain the relative weight assigned to each of the criteria sections in the Summary Evaluation below.

Rev. 06-10-2020 3 of 5

NSTRUCTIONAL FACULTY EVALUATION	Name (Last/First)		
Exceeds professional standards	The instructor is innovative, engaging and creates a learning environment that produces an outstanding educational experience for the students. Students come away excited and knowledgable about the subject. Exemplary perform outside the classroom may also be considered.		
Meets professional standards	The instructor knows the subject matter and presents it in an understandable and orderly manner. Students gain knowledge of the key concepts and issues in the course. Satisfactory performance outside the classroom may also be considered.		
Needs to improve	The instructor has an academic understanding of the subject field, but has difficulty translating that understanding into an into an effective classroom presentation. Students have trouble grasping core concepts and fail to engage with the students.		
Unsatisfactory performance	It is not clear that the instructor has the fundamental knowledge in the subject field. Class presentation has little or no no relation to accepted course outline. No measureable student learning is evident, and course exit standards appear to be ignored.		
	imendations and recommendations:		
	<u>SIGNATURES</u>		
Evaluator	Date		
Division Chair	Date		
Evaluatee	Nate		

Rev. 06-10-2020 4 of 5

## **INSTRUCTIONAL FACULTY EVALUATION**

Name (Last/First)

Signature of evaluatee does not constitute an endorsement of the evaluation. The evaluatee has the right to submit written comments regarding this evaluation for his/her file.

- Original copy must be forwarded to Human Resources for the evaluatee's personnel file.
- A copy is provided to the evaluatee.
- A copy may be kept on file in the evaluator's office.
- In the case of evening faculty, a copy may be kept in the Instructional Services Office.

Rev. 06-10-2020 5 of 5