

SLO Meeting Minutes
November 23, 2010
LB 205, 12:20 – 1:30 pm

Present: Tina Andersen-Wahlberg, Roger Bowerman, Jayne Campbell, Allyn Glanzer, Stacy Jazan, Dora Krannig, Jenny Krestow, Maria Kretzmann, Alice Mecom (Chair), Rosemarie Shamieh, Andy Stires,

Absent: Dave Martin, Rory Schlueter, Charlotte Schulten, Cicuto/Rome, Tim Vale, Kirk Vaughn

Proxies: Sarah McLemore for Ritterbrown

Guests: Cathy Durham

MSC (Glanzer/Bowerman) Approval of the Minutes

INFORMATION ITEMS:

1. SLO Motion (2010-2) – The SLO motion to extend Institute Day to use towards SLOAC work was brought to Senate Exec. The Exec recommends that the motion ask divisions to use the afternoon meeting on Institute Day towards SLOAC work, and that the first division meetings be held on the first Tuesday of the month (Sept.) The SLO committee would develop a template or some such structure so that a common theme can be addressed/elicited within the various divisions during this time, and the SLO committee would report at a faculty meeting on the results of the discussions or work done. This recommendation will be taken to Senate for consideration.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. Faculty SLOAC Workload Survey – Committee made revisions and suggested two surveys: one for SLO Coordinators and one for Division Chairs. New version will be sent back to committee for approval. Data from the survey, along with the State Senate SLO paper recommendations, will support our request for institutional support.
2. C & I is adding a new signature line for SLO completion before degrees and certificates are approved. The SLO committee discussed including an SLO “review/screening” process within Technical Review or C & I.
3. March Faculty Meeting – the SLO Committee has asked for time (*requested on 11/24/10*) to report on elumen, survey findings, and its recent motion for Institute Day (if approved)

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Rubrics for quality of assessment efforts – The committee would like to support the college in implementing sound quality assessments. Sample of “assessment quality” rubrics from Santa Monica College and Chaffey College were circulated. The committee would like to take on the role of an “assessment support” committee as we reach compliance with the ACCJC standards, and may change its name to reflect this. Discussion included the need to develop an assessment support manual for SLO Coordinators to use when advising their divisions.
2. eLumen – Tina demonstrated elumen and the progress of the pilot groups.