# SLO Meeting Minutes February 24, 2011 LB 205, 12:20 – 1:30 pm

Present: Tina Andersen-Wahlberg, Roger Bowerman, Jayne Campbell, John Cicuto/Rome, Allyn Glanzer, Dora Krannig, Alice Mecom (Chair), Rory Schlueter, Rosemarie Shamieh, Andy Stires, Tim Vale, Kirk Vaughn

Absent: Stacy Jazan, Jenny Krestow, Maria Kretzmann, Dave Martin, Charlotte Schulten

Proxies: Sarah McLemore for Ritterbrown

Guests: None

#### **INFORMATION ITEMS:**

1. SLO Motion (2010-2) – the motion will go to the Senate on March 3 for discussion and to ask Senators to get feedback from Division Chairs. Motion now reads:

Motion to devote the divisional meetings on Institute Day to structured SLOAC dialogue, analysis, and planning as facilitated by the SLO Committee, which will report institutional findings and progress in a variety of venues, such as at faculty meetings, throughout the semester.

### **OLD BUSINESS:**

- 1. C & I and SLOACs: Sarah McLemore will serve as Co- Chair of C&I, which will create further linkage between the two committees.
- 2. Faculty SLOAC Workload Survey Results:

The SLO Coordinator survey

http://www.surveymonkey.com/sr.aspx?sm=xNPgGTtMpKxVI216OOcqgv8NtO\_2fHZzhYJYIPCbSm\_2flA\_3d

The Division Chair survey

 $\underline{http://www.surveymonkey.com/sr.aspx?sm=7oTlrlQXpPWx7zTcfj27K8a5BPiJdFnpWB}\\ \underline{B1Ez1nCbk\_3d}$ 

Generally, everyone is asking for more TIME, which supports our motion.

3. March Faculty Meeting – SLO topics will include: assessment manual, portions of the SLO website, results of faculty surveys (above), SLO motion for consideration, program SLOs and the standards of the proficiency rubric.

4. Rubrics for quality of assessment efforts and renaming our committee to reflect focus on quality assessments – no time for discussion

## **NEW BUSINESS:**

- 1. Workshops this semester: Roger suggested targeting the needs of individual divisions/departments rather than holding general workshops
- 2. Program Review and SLOACs: Committee should offer feedback to Program Review on the SLO page and highlight divisions that were "successful" in showing linkage of outcome assessments to resource requests.

## **REPORTS:**

1. eLumen – Tina updated us on elumen and the Social Sciences division implementation.